

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,798	12/12/2003	Rema Ananthanarayanan	JP920030082US1	3886	
29154 FREDERICK	7590 03/24/201 W GIRR III	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Gibb Intellectual Property Law Firm, LLC 844 West Street SUTTE-100 ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401			VEZERIS,	VEZERIS, JAMES A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/24/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

support@gibbiplaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/734,798	ANANTHANARAYANAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES A. VEZERIS	3693		

	Examiner	AILOIIL					
	JAMES A. VEZERIS	3693					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \</li></ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
Mark The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		L bolowy,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
Note that the control of the co							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:	(						
/James A. Kramer/	/JAMES A VEZERIS/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693	Examiner, Art Unit 3693						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues Priest fails to teach, "using said computer to invoke standalone bilateral negotiations, which stand apart from the multi-part trading mechanism, to arrive at outstornized trading offers, the standalone bilateral negotiations being invoked with the trading parties who submitted trading offers." Examiner disagrees.

The agreement formation rules of Priest, paragraph 62, are agreed upon by both parties prior to the start of the auction. When an offer and bid match certain criteria they are separated from overall negotations. The negotiation host then acts by utilizing the rules agreed upon in a stand alone negotiation. The fact that the major elements of the contract have been stated does not create an illusory contract as there can still be a difference in Price and Quantity, both of which are essential to the formation of a contract. The negotiation host uses agreed upon rules to negotiate the final Price and Quantity creating a contract.

As for the second contention that the standalone bilateral negotiations of Priest are not invoked with "the trading parties who submitted trading offers" examiner disgarees. Examiner finds it inherent that the two parties who sumbitted the bids are invoked within the negotiation host as there bids and offers are being utilized. Further the system of Priest clearly shows the ability to act in a one to one fashion or as a more broad many to many fashion. Paragraphs 46, 56.